

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/650,105 | DHARMARAJAN, BASKARAN | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Taghi T. Arani | 2131 | |

All Participants:

(1) Taghi T. Arani.

(2) Clint J. Feeks.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 April 2006

Time: 4:00 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 13

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested amending independent claims 1 and 13 in consistent with the arguments filed 1/30/2006 where the applicant argues that multiple client applications requesting access to multiple server based applications providing different services. Agreed to amend claims 1 and 13 to include the client applications as argued in consistent with the specification..